

Template Quality Review Report Elos

Male:
Female:

Quality Reviewer(s)

- Name(s) of interviewer(s):
- Overview of topics discussed during the interview:
- Overview of evidence submitted (please list provided items):

Short description of the school:

Please provide some general information about your school (e.g. number of students, number of teachers, type of education, etc.)

Quality Review

In the overview below the Quality Reviewer(s) write(s) down the strengths they have observed during the interview or school visit and suggestions for improvement. Their observations are

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.



education stretching borders

based upon the documents they have seen, the talks they have had with staff and students (where applicable) and the assessment through the use of the Quality Review self-assessment tool.

Meaning of the scores:

1. Partly realized

This is a signal that the Elos programme shows strong and weak points in this general indicator, but the most important criteria are still capable of improvement.

2. Mostly realized

The Elos programme shows more strong than weak points in this general indicator. The quality of some of the criteria could be further improved.

3. Completely realized

The school functions optimally in all or practically all of the criteria.

A Education process / learning environment

<u>Score:</u> 1 2 3

Strengths

Suggestions for improvement

Advice of the Quality Review Team

B School curriculum and policy

Score: 1 2 3

Strengths

Suggestions for improvement

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.



Advice of the Quality Review Team

C Staff competence and institutional capacity
<u>Score:</u> 1 2 3
<u>Strengths</u>
Suggestions for improvement
Advice of the Quality Review Team
D International cooperation
<u>Score:</u> 1 2 3
Strengths
<u>Subrigino</u>
Suggestions for improvement
Advice of the Quality Review Team

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

E Evaluation of the use of the Elos school standard

3

Score:

1

2



Strengths

Suggestions for improvement

Advice of the Quality Review Team



End score on Elos School Standard		
☐ Sufficient		
□ Insufficient		
Clarification:		
Concluding observations Quality Reviewer(s)		
This Elos certification is valid for three years and must be recertified by the deadline to remain on active status. The Quality Review Procedure was carried out by the Elos Quality Reviewer(s) and has not been reviewed or approved by, and may not necessarily reflect the views of the European Commission.		
Dete		
Date:		
National Elos coordinator:	School's Principal / Head Teacher:	
	,	

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.